



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

[Handwritten mark]

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/206,249 12/07/98 SEIBERG M JBP438

AUDLEY A. CIAMPORCERO JR
JOHNSON & JOHNSON
ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA
NEW BRUNSWICK NJ 08933-7003

HM22/0323

EXAMINER

MELLER, M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1651

[Handwritten mark]

DATE MAILED:

03/23/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/206,249

Applicant(s)

Seiberg et al.

Examiner

Michael Meller

Group Art Unit

1651



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 7, 1998

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire one month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-69 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☒ Claims 1-69 are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 11-23, 25-27, 31-37, 39, 41-43, 47-58, drawn to a product I and a method of using said product I, classified in class 424, subclass 94.64.

II. Claims 2, 4, 8-22, 24, 28-36, 38, 40, 44-47, 58, drawn to a product II and a method of using said product II, classified in class 435, subclass 213.

**should include 47-58, i.e., 44-58.*

III. Claims 59-62, drawn to a product III, classified in class 435, subclass 975.

IV. Claims 59, 63-65, drawn to a product IV, classified in class 435, subclass 810.

V. Claims 66-69, drawn to a method of using product V, classified in class 424, subclass 78.02.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions are related to one another as five distinct products. The products are distinct from one another since each contains components which are materially distinct from one another. Group V contains an extra compound which is totally unrelated, since it is never defined. Groups III and IV each contain articles of manufacture that contain a solid delivery

Art Unit: 1651

vehicle which is totally unrelated to the other groups. Between them, the distinguishing feature is that one activates PAR-2 while the other one inhibits it. Groups I and II are different products since they each contain an agent that increases or decreases phagocytosis or ICAM-1 expression. Totally different compounds are only capable of these very different functions and results.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the different agents, cells and disorders claimed.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (pick one of each of agents, cells and disorders) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-69 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1651

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. A telephone call was made to A. Colby on 3/22/2000 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Mike Meller at telephone number (703) 308-4230. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached at (703) 308-4743. The Fax phone number for the art unit is (703) 308- 0294. Any inquiries of a general nature or

Application/Control Number: 09/206,249

Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.



Christopher Tate
Patent Examiner